

FAST CONVERGECAST OVER A TREE BASED ROUTING TECHNOLOGY FOR COLLISION AVOIDANCE IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK

A.Amalorpavam¹, S.Mangaiarkarasi²

¹M.E Student, Department of Computer Science, St.Joseph's College of Engineering And Technology, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India

²HOD, Department of Computer Science, St.Joseph's College of Engineering And Technology, Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India

amalacse11@gmail.com

Abstract— In our task research the accompanying central inquiry how quick would information be able to be gathered from a wireless sensor network arrange composed as tree? To address this, we investigate and assess various distinctive strategies utilizing practical simulation models under the many-to-one correspondence worldview known as converge cast. We initially consider time scheduling on a single frequency channel with the point of limiting the quantity of availabilities required (schedule length) to finish a converge cast. Next, we consolidate scheduling with transmission control to alleviate the impacts of obstruction, and demonstrate that while control helps in diminishing the schedule length under a single frequency, scheduling transmissions utilizing multiple frequencies is more efficient. To attain efficient communication between the nodes, interference must be considered. We give bring down limits on the schedule length when interference is totally disposed of, and propose calculations that accomplish these limits. The utilization of multifrequency scheduling can get the job done to take out a large portion of the impedance. At that point, the information

accumulation rate never again stays restricted by impedance yet by the topology of the routing tree To this end, we develop degree-compelled spanning trees and capacitated negligible spreading over trees, and show huge change in scheduling execution over various arrangement densities. Finally, we assess the effect of various obstruction and channel models on the schedule length.

Keywords — *Collision avoidance; TDMA scheduling; degree-based link scheduling; wireless sensor networks; scheduling length.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Convergecast, in particular the accumulation of data from an arrangement of sensors toward a typical sink over a tree based steering topology, is a major operation in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) [3] . In numerous applications, it is vital to give a certification on the conveyance time and in addition increment the rate of such information

accumulation. Then again, applications, for example, permafrost checking require intermittent and quick information conveyance over drawn out stretches of time, which falls under the classification of consistent information gathering. We concentrate on the accompanying essential inquiry: "How quick would data be able to be spilled from an arrangement of sensors to a sink over a tree based topology?" We think about two sorts of information gathering: (i) Aggregated converge cast where packets are collected at each hop, and (ii) Raw-information converge cast where packets are exclusively handed-off toward the sink. Aggregated Converge Cast is relevant when a solid spatial connection exists in the information, or the objective is to gather outlined data, for example, the greatest sensor perusing. Raw Data Converge Cast, then again, is material when each sensor perusing is similarly vital, or the relationship is insignificant. We examine aggregated converge cast with regards to ceaseless information gathering, and raw information cast for one-shot information gathering. These two sorts compare to two extraordinary instances of information accumulation. Distributed collision-free-Low-latency Scheduling (DCLS) conspire gives link scheduling for WSN in view of enhanced TDMA. Inadequacies like expanded schedule length and inefficient data transfer capacity use of the DCLS[11] schemes are moderated by proposed Distributed Degree-based Link Scheduling(DDLS) Scheme. In a prior work, the issue of applying diverse accumulation factors, i.e., information pressure factors, was considered, and the idleness of information gathering was appeared to be inside the performance bounds of the two outrageous instances of no information pressure (raw-data converge cast) and full information pressure (aggregated converge cast). For occasional movement, it is notable that

dispute free Medium Access Control (MAC) protocols such as, TDMA (Time Division Multiple Access)[11] are better fit for quick information accumulation, since they can kill impacts and retransmissions and give ensure on the consummation time instead of conflict based protocols. Be that as it may, the issue of building struggle free (impedance free) TDMA schedules even under the basic diagram based obstruction demonstrate has been proved to be NP-complete. In this work, we consider a TDMA system and plan polynomial-time heuristics to limit the schedule length for the two sorts of merge cast. We likewise discover bring down limits on the achievable schedule lengths and contrast the execution of our heuristics and these limits. We begin by distinguishing the *essential* constraining components of quick information accumulation, which are: (i) Interference in the remote medium, (ii) Half-duplex handsets on the sensor hubs, and (iii) Topology of the system. At that point, we investigate various diverse methods that give a pecking order of progressive upgrades, the least difficult among which is an obstruction mindful, least length, TDMA scheduling[4] that empowers spatial reuse. To accomplish facilitate change, we join transmission control with scheduling, and utilize numerous frequency channels to empower more simultaneous transmissions. We demonstrate that once numerous frequencies are utilized alongside spatial-reuse TDMA, the information accumulation rate frequently never again stays restricted by impedance however by the topology of the system. In the last advance, we build arrange topologies with particular properties that assistance in additionally improving the rate. Our essential decision is that, consolidating these distinctive systems can give a request of greatness change for aggregated converge cast, and a factor of two change for raw-data converge cast, contrasted with single-

channel TDMA scheduling on minimum-hop routing trees.

II. BACKGROUND

2.1 TREE-BASED MULTI-CHANNEL PROTOCOL (TMCP)

Time division multiple access (TDMA) [5] is computerized transmission innovation that enables various clients to get to a single radio frequency (RF) channel without obstruction by allotting unique time slots to every client inside each channel. The TDMA advanced transmission conspire multiplexes three signals over a single channel. The current TDMA standard for cell partitions a single channel into six schedule openings, with each flag utilizing two slots, giving a 3 to 1 pick up in limit over advanced mobile phone service (AMPS). Every guest is doled out a particular time slot for transmission. TDMA [18] qualities are Shares single carrier frequency with different clients. Non-consistent transmission makes handoff simpler. Slots can be allotted on request in unique TDMA. Less stringent power control than CDMA due to reduced intra cell interference. Higher synchronization overhead than CDMA.

2.1.1 TDMA WORKS

TDMA depends upon the way that the audio signal has been digitized; that is, separated into various milliseconds-long packets. It apportions a single frequency channel for a brief timeframe and after that moves to another channel. The computerized tests from a single transmitter involve distinctive time slots in a few groups in the meantime as appeared in Figure 1.




Figure 1. TDMA

The entrance strategy utilized as a part of TDMA has three clients sharing a 30– kHz carrier frequency. TDMA is additionally the access strategy utilized as a part of the European computerized standard, GSM, and the Japanese advanced standard, personal digital cellular (PDC). The explanation behind picking TDMA for every one of these principles was that it empowers some vital features for framework operation in a progressed cell or PCS condition. Today, TDMA is an accessible, well-demonstrated strategy in business operation in numerous frameworks. In TDMA[8], an arrangement of N clients share a similar radio channel, yet every client just uses the channel amid foreordained openings. An edge comprises of N slots, one for every client. Edges are rehashed constantly. The transmit data transfer capacity is N times the transmission capacity that would be expected to oblige a single client. Hence the receiver can be worked with more extensive channels, which are more affordable and littler than those required for FDMA operation. In the uplink (mobile to base), TDMA is more troublesome: the signals from all clients must be adjusted in time. Regularly this is accomplished through an input circle with timing data. Moderately quick catalyst and power-off circumstances are expected to keep away from that signals from clients meddle with signals in other slots.

2.1.3 TREE-BASED MULTI-CHANNEL PROTOCOL (TMCP)

TMCP is a voracious, tree-based, multi-channel protocol for information accumulation applications.




Figure 2. Schedule Generated with TMCP

It segments the system into various sub trees and limits the intra tree obstruction by relegating diverse channels to the hubs dwelling on various branches beginning from the top to the bottom of the tree. In above figure, the nodes on the furthest left branch is relegated frequency F1, second branch is appointed frequency F2 and the last branch is doled out frequency F3 and after the channel assignments, time slots are allotted to the nodes with the BFS Time Slot Assignment calculation. Favorable position of TMCP is that it is intended to help converge cast traffic and does not require channel exchanging. Be that as it may, conflict inside the branches is not settled since every one of the nodes on a similar branch convey on a similar channel.

2.2 DISTRIBUTED DEGREE-BASED LINK SCHEDULING

Evade impacts in correspondence between neighbor nodes, two sorts of obstructions are to be considered. Initial one is essential obstruction where a node gets transmissions from different neighbor nodes at a similar moment. The other is

optional impedance, and it happens when a communication between a couple of nodes deliberately meddle with the communication of neighboring pair of nodes. There have been two methodologies considered for the Medium Access Control (MAC) in WSN. Some recommend a 802.11 like CSMA based MAC algorithms in WSN. CSMA based MAC is also called contention based MAC[12], where nodes fight to get entrance of the channel. Such algorithms expect nodes to always tune in to the channel and increment the quantity of transmissions due to collisions. In this way CSMA based MAC due to algorithm require more energy and subsequently diminishes the life time of a node. To determine this issue many move in the direction of TDMA based MAC algorithms which DDLs for Collision Avoidance in WSNs are contention free algorithms, however they require a scheduling algorithm toward the start to relegate the timeslots. TDMA however develops a casing by utilizing scheduling algorithms. Frame comprises of similarly measured timeslots and these timeslots are allocated to nodes/links for transmission. TDMA based scheduling algorithms can be ordered into two sort:

- 1) Where nodes are doled out timeslots and
- 2) Where connects between nodes are relegated timeslots, Known as link scheduling[6].

2.2.1 COLLISION FREE DDLs SCHEME

Collision and interference free Distributed Degree-based Link Scheduling (DDLs) plot with low latency and low duty-cycle[13]. DDLs conspire diminishes the schedule length by allotting a time slot to numerous accessible connections without impact, in light of Collision Avoidance Maximal Independent Link Set (CAMILS). CAMILS is

adequately a MIS of the connections with collision avoidance. This gives a chance to various connects to be doled out a same time slot without collision, which upgrade the data transfer capacity use productivity and decrease the sit tight time of the sensor for the transmission, which contaminate enhances the life time of the system. With the upper bound for an opportunity to dole out the time slots, the proposed DDLS scheme[7] gives better connection scheduling comes about contrasting with the DCLS conspire as far as transmission delay. In the DDLS plot, at any given moment every node is in one of the accompanying states: READY, WAIT, PAIRED, BLOCK and COMPLETE. In the current framework, it tended to the crucial restrictions because of obstruction and half-duplex handsets on the nodes. Working of the proposed DDLS scheme depends on the CAMILS, through which essential and auxiliary collisions are maintained a strategic distance from while appointing the timeslot to the connection. Performance examination of the proposed DDLS conspire has been made against the as of recently presented DCLS scheme[7], which unmistakably shows that the proposed DDLS scheme accomplishes considerable change as far as schedule length and strength under a similar system condition. In DDLS conspire, just a single timeslot is doled out per connect regardless of the transmission requirement of the node. Later on work we proposed plan to help numerous timeslots for a connection in light of the measure of information a hub needs to transmit. In DDLS scheme timeslot task to a connection fundamentally relies upon the aggregate of degree (dsv) data at the episode hub v. dsv is an entirety of the degree estimation of hub v (dv) with degree estimations of all its neighbor hubs (du where $u \in N(v)$). As an essential of DDLS plot, every hub v gathers the degree (du where $u \in N(v)$) of its neighbors and computes the dsv utilizing the

accompanying condition. $dsv = \sum_{u \in N(v)} du$ (1)
Each hub v sends its dsv to all neighbors, and gathers their dsu (where $u \in N(v)$) and keeps up them in the localtable NS(v) as a tuple of (idu, dsu).

III. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Existing work had the target of limiting the finishing time of converge casts. Be that as it may, none of the past work talked about the impact of multi-channel scheduling together with the correlations[20] of various channel task systems and the effect of routing trees and none thought about the issues of aggregated and raw converge cast[11], which speak to two extraordinary instances of information gathering. Distributed TDMA based connection scheduling scheme DDLS, which utilizes the degree data of the neighbors to relegate the timeslots to the connections. In our current DDLS plot, just a single timeslot is assigned per link regardless of the transmission requirement of the node. Later on work we stretch out the proposed DDLS scheme to help various timeslots for a connection in light of the measure of information a node needs to transmit.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

In this area, we present the algorithms for navigating nodes and allocate timeslot for nodes.

4.1 Breadth First Search Algorithm

BFS is a method of traversing the graph by visiting each node of graph in a systematic order. Breadth-first search (BFS) is an algorithm used for traversing tree data structures. This non-recursive implementation is similar to the non-recursive implementation of depth-first search, but differs from it in two ways: It uses a

queue (First In First Out) instead of a stack and it checks whether a vertex has been discovered before enqueueing the vertex rather than delaying this check until the vertex is dequeued from the queue. Used to check the connectivity of the graph. To check whether the graph is acyclic or not. To find the spanning tree and path with fewest number of edges.

Algorithm 1 : BFS – ALGORITHM

- 1: Input: $T = (V, ET)$
- 2: While $ET \neq \emptyset$ do
- 3: $e \leftarrow$ next edge from ET in BFS order
- 4: Assign minimum time slot t to edge e respecting adjacency and interfering constraints
- 5: $ET \leftarrow ET \setminus \{e\}$
- 6: end while




Figure 3. BFS Flow Chart

4.2 Local Time Slot Algorithm

Local Time Slot Algorithm is used to keep the sink busy in receiving packets for as many time slots as possible. The idea is that schedule transmissions in parallel along multiple branches of tree. Each source node maintains a buffer and it is associated state

which can either be full or empty depending on whether it contains a packet or not.

Algorithm2: LOCAL-TIME SLOT ASSIGNMENT

- 1 : node.buffer = full
- 2 : if {node is sink} then
- 3 : Among the eligible top subtrees, choose the one with the large number of total (remaining) packets, say top subtree i
- 4 : Schedule link (root (i), s) respecting interfering constraint
- 5 : else
- 6 : if {node.buffer == empty} then
- 7 : Choose a random child c of node whose buffer is full
- 8 : Schedule link (c , node) respecting interfering constraint
- 9 : c .buffer = empty
- 10 : node.buffer = full
- 11 : end if
- 12 : end if

The Local TimeSlot Algorithm then goes through the following steps: Among the eligible top subtrees, choose the one with the largest number of total packets. Schedule link respecting interfering link constraint. Choose the random child and assign the time slot.

4.3 Frequency and Time Scheduling

The utilization of various frequency channels is a proficient approach to enhance the limit of remote systems[14]. Concurrent transmissions on various frequencies (if the frequencies are not orthogonal, distinctive frequencies may likewise be clashing. We utilize non-clashing frequencies and diverse frequencies reciprocally in the content) can occur without impedance in the same spatial neighborhood. In this segment we present a straightforward scheduling strategy which independently appoints the time slots and

frequencies on a tree topology. Inspiration for this proposition is as per the following: • Intersecting connections, which are characterized as the connections with a typical goal, cannot transmit on a similar availability since they need to sit tight for each other's transmission. Relegating non conflicting frequencies to these nodes does not enhance the circumstance, either. At that point the recipient ought to be allotted a frequency[20] and the senders should utilize this recurrence to transmit to the parent. • Interfering connections are the connections which make/confront impedance on the off chance that they are scheduled at the same time. Since our point is to limit the quantity of time slots, the best choice at that point is to relegate a similar vacancy on non- conflicting frequencies.

V. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

This segment assesses the performance and efficiency of data transmission in wireless network in terms of schedule length, collision overhead.

5.1 Raw Data Collection

As emphasized in [13], steering trees that permit more parallel transmissions do not really bring about little schedule lengths. For example, the schedule length is N for a system associated as a star topology, though it is $(2N - 1)$ for a line topology once impedance is dispensed with. We increase their scheme with another arrangement of principles and develop the tree bounce by jump outwards from the sink. We expect that the nodes know their minimum-hop counts to sink.. Rule 1: Nodes with single potential guardians are associated first. Rule 2: For nodes with different potential guardians, we initially build their growth sets (GS) and pick the one with the biggest

cardinality for additionally preparing, breaking ties in light of the littlest id. We characterize the development set of a node as the arrangement of neighbors (potential children) that are not yet associated with the tree and have bigger hop counts. Rule 3: Once a node is picked in light of the development sets as per Rule 2, we build search sets (SS) to choose which potential branch the hub ought to be added to. A hunt set is accordingly branch-particular and incorporates the node that are most certainly not however associated with the tree and are neighbors of a hub that are at a higher bounce check.

5.2 Aggregated Data Collection

We initially build balanced trees and compare their performance with unbalanced trees. We watch that in the two cases the sink regularly makes a high-degree bottleneck. Algorithm to develop degree-obliged trees Note that building such an extent compelled tree is NP-hard. Each source hub I in our heuristic monitors the quantity of its kids, $C(i)$, which is instated to 0, and a jump check to the sink, $HC(i)$, which is introduced to ∞ . The calculation begins with the sink hub, and includes a hub $I \in T$ at each emphasis to the tree to such an extent that HC is limited. It stops when $|T| = |V|$, or when no more hubs can be added to the tree on the grounds that the neighbors of all these new hubs have achieved the breaking point on their most extreme degree. Subsequently, in this last circumstance, the heuristic may not generally create a traversing tree. In the event that the hubs select their folks as per least bounce without a degree limitation[17], at that point every one of them will choose the sink, and this will give a calendar length of N . Nonetheless, on the off chance that we restrict the quantity of kids per hub to 2, at that point this will bring about two sub trees established at the sink, and if there are

sufficient frequencies to dispense with obstruction, the system can be booked utilizing just 2 vacancies, subsequently accomplishing a factor of $N/2$ decrease in the schedule length.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this undertaking, we contemplated quick converge cast in WSN where nodes impart utilizing a TDMA protocol to limit the schedule length. We tended to the principal constraints because of impedance and half-duplex handsets on the nodes and investigated methods to beat the same. We found that while transmission control helps in decreasing the schedule length, different channels are more successful. We also observed that node-based and link-based channel assignment schemes are more efficient in terms of eliminating interference as compared to assigning different channels on different branches of the tree (TMCP). When impedance is totally dispensed with, we demonstrated that with half-duplex radios the achievable schedule length is bring down limited by the most extreme degree in the directing tree for accumulated convergecast, and by $\max(2n_k-1, N)$ for raw-data convergecast. Utilizing optimal convergecast scheduling algorithms, we demonstrated that the lower limits are achievable once a reasonable routing scheme is utilized. Through extensive simulations, we showed up to a request of greatness decrease in the schedule length for aggregated, and a half diminishment for raw-data convergecast.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. S. Krishnan, M. Ramaswamy, and A. Nachiappan, "Optimizing energy delay metric for performance enhancement of wireless sensor networks," *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 1289_1297, 2010.
- [2] B. Yu, J. Li, and Y. Li, "Distributed data aggregation scheduling in wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, Apr. 2009, pp. 2159_2167.
- [3] O. D. Incel and B. Krishnamachari, "Enhancing the data collection rate of tree-based aggregation in Wireless Sensor Networks," in *SECON '08*, San Francisco, CA, USA, pp. 569-577.
- [4] P. Djukic and S. Valaee, "Delay aware link scheduling for multi-hop TDMA wireless networks," *IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 870_883, Jun. 2009.
- [5] S. C. Ergen and P. Varaiya, "TDMA scheduling algorithms for wireless sensor networks," *J. Wireless Netw.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 985_997, 2010.
- [6] S. Gandham, M. Dawande, and R. Prakash, "Link scheduling in sensor networks: Distributed edge coloring revisited," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, vol. 4, Aug. 2005, pp. 2492_2501.
- [7] S. Myoung, D. Kim, H. Choo, and M. Kim, "Degree-based link scheduling for collision avoidance in distributed wireless sensor networks," in *Proc. 8th Int. Conf. Ubiquitous Inf. Manage. Commun. (ICUIMC)*, 2014, pp. 116:1_116:6.
- [8] S. S. Kulkarni, "TDMA services for sensor networks," in *Proc. IEEE 24th Int Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst. Workshops*, Mar. 2004, pp. 604_609.
- [9] T. ElBatt and A. Ephremides, "Joint scheduling and power control for Wireless Ad-hoc Networks," in *INFOCOM '02*, Jun. pp. 976-984.
- [10] V. Annamalai, S. Gupta, and L. Schwiebert, "On tree-based converge casting in Wireless Sensor Networks," in *WCNC '03*, vol. 3, pp. 1942-1947.
- [11] S. Gandham, Y. Zhang, and Q. Huang, "Distributed time-optimal scheduling for convergecast in wireless sensor networks," *Computer Networks*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 610-629, 2008.
- [12] K. K. Chintalapudi and L. Venkatraman, "On the design of mac protocols for low latency hard real-time discrete control applications over 802.15.4 hardware," in *IPSN '08*, pp. 356-367.

- [13] S. Upadhyayula and S. Gupta, "Spanning tree based algorithms for low latency and energy efficient data aggregation enhanced convergecast (dac) in wireless sensor networks," *Ad Hoc Networks*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 626–648, 2007.
- [14] Ghosh, O. D. Incel, V. A. Kumar, and B. Krishnamachari, "Multichannel scheduling algorithms for fast aggregated convergecast in sensor networks," in *Mobile Adhoc and Sensor Systems*, 2009. MASS'09. IEEE 6th International Conference on. IEEE, 2009, pp. 363–372.
- [15] W.-Z. Song, F. Yuan, R. LaHusen, and B. Shirazi, "Time-optimum packet scheduling for many-to-one routing in wireless sensor networks," *The International Journal of Parallel, Emergent and Distributed Systems*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 355–370, 2007.
- [16] H. Choi, J. Wang, and E. A. Hughes, "Scheduling for information gathering on sensor network," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 127–140, 2009.
- [17] N.-L. Lai, C.-T. King, and C.-H. Lin, "On maximizing the throughput of convergecast in wireless sensor networks," *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 5036, pp. 396–408, 2008.
- [18] L. Shi and A. O. Fapojuwo, "TDMA scheduling with optimized energy efficiency and minimum delay in clustered wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 927–940, Jul. 2010.
- [19] X. Chen, X. Hu, and J. Zhu, "Minimum data aggregation time problem in wireless sensor networks," in *Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Networks*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, X. Jia, J. Wu, and Y. He, Eds.
- [20] N. X. Lam, M. K. An, D. T. Huynh, and T. N. Nguyen, "Scheduling problems in interference-aware wireless sensor networks," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing, Networking and Communications (ICNC '13)*, pp. 783–789, January 2013.
- [21] M. M. Halldórsson, "Wireless scheduling with power control," in *Algorithms—ESA 2009*, vol. 5757 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*.